#### ЛИТЕРАТУРА

- Бойко, Б. Л. Самодеятельный онлайновый словарь современной лексики жаргона и сленга «Словоново» как форма общения в Интернете / Б. Л. Бойко // Вопр. психолингвистики. – 2010. – № 12. – С. 64–70.
- 2. *Лукашанец, Е. Г.* Сленговые словари как результат металингвистической деятельности пользователей интернета / Е. Г. Лукашанец // Науч. диалог. 2012. № 8. С. 28–48.
- Ambrogio, R. Scrostati Gaggio: dizionario storico dei linguaggi giovanili / R. Ambrogio, G. Casalegno. – Torino : UTET, 2004. – 518 p.
- 4. *Cortelazzo, M.* Il parlato giovanile / M. Cortelazzo // Storia della lingua italiana. II, Scritto e parlato, a cura di Luca Serianni e Pietro Trifone / Michele Cortelazzo. Torino : Enaudi, 1994. P. 291–317.
- Lingua Giovani. Centro di documentazione sul linguaggio giovanile [Electronic resource]. – Mode of access : www.maldura.unipd.it/linguagiovani. – Date of access : 26.11.2014.
- Radtke, E. Varieta giovanili / E. Radtke // Introduzione all'italiano contemporaneo. La variazione e gli usi / a cura di Alberto A. Sobrero. – Roma-Bari : Latenza, 1993. – P. 191–235.
- Заваруева, И. И. Словарная статья как особое лексикографическое построение (на примере электронных словарей) / И. И. Заваруева // Граматичні читання : матеріали міжнародної науково-практичної конференції. – Серія: Філологічні науки. – ДонНУ, 2011. – С.125 – 128.
- 8. *Coveri*, *L*. Le varieta dell'italiano. Manuale di sociolinguistica italiana / L. Coveri, A. Benucci, P. Diadori. Roma : Siena, 1998. 322 p.

The article is dedicated to the research of online slang dictionaries and conversation vocabulary. On the example of Slangopedia, a dictionary of the Italian language, intrinsic features of online dictionaries are revealed, special attention is paid to the research of the microstructure of online slang dictionary: lexical unit and its meaning, authorship and tags that distinguish this type of dictionaries from traditional lexicographical sources. Three types of tags: names of the regions of Italy (the most frequent type), thematic tags and tags of language subsystems, were revealed.

### A. Lavrovski, R. Akhramenko

#### "FAMILY" METAPHORS IN BUSINESS ENGLISH

В статье рассматривается концептуальная метафора «компания есть семья», широко используемая работодателями в целях повышения лояльности сотрудников. Особо отмечается тот факт, что, несмотря на полемику в печати, метафора до сих пор не изучалась

с лингвистической точки зрения. Приводится анализ языковых метафор семантического поля «семья», составляющих концептуальную метафору «компания есть семья». Подчеркивается, что в случае с метафорой «компания есть семья» лингвистическое моделирование по Дж. Лакофф не представляется возможным, что доказывает искусственность ее происхождения в английском языке.

In recent years, much research has demonstrated that metaphors play an important role in business English. Business involves strategy, planning, losing and winning as warfare and fighting does, hence, the metaphors of war are numerous in this sphere [1]. Other metaphors used in business English refer to the fields of sport and gambling [2; 3].

One of the semantic fields the constituents of which act as sources for metaphors in business English is *family*. The use of family metaphors in business English has been a matter of a large dispute over the recent years. The growing necessity to control workers and work processes gave rise to using the conceptual metaphor *a company (firm, etc.) is a family*.

Business leaders took to family metaphors because the family terminology provided a safe alternative to authoritative language and class terminology when talking about labor relations.

This notion engendered a range of polemical articles stating that it is inadmissible to associate a company with a family in any way. The arguments on both sides, however, employed little or nothing from the linguistic researches on the use of metaphors in the language and their impact on human behaviour. Speaking about these researches we mean first and foremost the writings of George Lakoff. George Lakoff and Mark Johnson's theory of metaphor [4] provides a basis for describing everyday cognitive strategies in using linguistic models and thus, making it possible to uncover both individual and collective patterns of thought and action. Lack of supporting material on both sides in the controversy surrounding family metaphors in business English has created an opportunity to investigate the family metaphors in business English from the points of statistics, semantics and frequency of occurrence in business discourses.

According to the modern publications, the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family* is currently used by business authorities to serve the purpose of understanding family relations in terms of business realities. In other words, employees are encouraged to view their company as a kind of a family unit, thereby treating the authorities as "parents" and expressing loyalty. Nikki Mandell [5] describes the institution of "welfare managers" and argues that

they looked to the Victorian family as a model for workplace relationships and adopted family metaphors to regulate the relationship between employers and employees.

The opponents of use of the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family* point out a lot of discrepancies in the essence of this metaphor and its semantic associations [6].

However, in the publications we have studied on the topic in question, we found no mention of research of language metaphors which form the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family*.

The Combinatory Dictionary of the English Language [7] offers a number of word combinations used with the lexemes *family*, *company* and *firm*. The analysis of the given word combinations, however, has shown no coincidence in lexical compatibility of these concepts.

The defining of the lexical components of the semantic field *family* in the modern English language was the next stage of our research. For this purpose we used the Oxford Dictionary of the English Language [8]. As a result we received a list of 18 lexemes directly concerning the semantic field *family*: *father/dad/daddy*; *mother/mum*; *husband*; *wife*; *son*; *daughter*; *brother*; *sister*; *grandfather*; *grandmother*; *aunt*; *uncle*; *child/baby/kid*.

After we received the list of lexemes of the semantic field *family* we started identification of language metaphors in the business English language which included the aforementioned lexemes. Our basic source of information was The English-Russian Dictionary of Modern Business Language [9], containing more than 18 000 entries. As a result we received a database of 52 positions – the language metaphors containing the lexemes from the semantic field *family*, such as: *aunt Millie* 'inexperienced investor'; *baby billboard* 'advertising poster panel in public transport (i.e. small)'; *big daddy* 'the most important person among similar people';; *daughter company* 'subsidiary'; *divorce* 'separation of previously merged companies'; *father and sons* 'a bonded stock with subsequent emission of new tranches'; *granny bond* 'an index-linked savings certificate, formerly only available to persons over retirement age, hence the name'; *mother's day* 'a day, usually once a month, when hardship allowances are given out'.

Our next step was to carry out language modelling of the metaphors (after George Lakoff) to confirm or disprove the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family*. A careful study of 143 authentic business-related texts found in different Internet sources showed that the family lexemes in the metaphors merely replace the corresponding business terms but allow no language modelling. For example, the expression *my dear old father* cannot stand for *my dear old boss*. Our attempts

proved the language modelling in the sphere of family metaphors in business English ineffective, thus suggesting the artificial character of the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family*.

Our search for verification of the results of our research encouraged us to turn to bi-nominative constructions after A. Wierzbicka [10]. We assumed that binominative constructions would help us clarify the reasons why the abovementioned family lexemes appeared in the metaphors by establishing their semantic associations. Thus, on the next stage of our research with the help of The Oxford Dictionary of the English Language and The Combinatory Dictionary of the English Language we worked out semantic associations of the given metaphors. The semantic associations then were presented in the form of binominative constructions  $N_1$  is  $N_2$ , where N<sub>1</sub> stands for a lexeme from the semantic field family, and N<sub>2</sub> – its semantic association. So the lexeme *dad* associates with the concept *authority*, hence the construction *dad–authority*.

On the following stage of our research it was necessary to verify the conformity of the semantic associations to the linguistic-cultural realities of the modern English language. Thus we applied to philology students of Birmingham University (via the Internet), as well as MSLU students of the modern English language, requesting them to participate in a survey. We drew up a questionnaire which contained the list of lexemes from the semantic field *family* as well as the list of semantic associations obtained as the result of our research. The participants were asked to match the family lexemes from the offered list and the corresponding semantic associations and to generate bi-nominative-type constructions  $N_1$  is  $N_2$  based on their own feeling of the language. The results show 93,2 % and 89,7 %. of coincidence respectively. This allows us to conclude that the semantic associations obtained as the result of our research reflect the actual perception of the abovementioned metaphors in the linguistic-cultural realities of the modern English language.

Now when we could be sure about the consistency of our associations we were able to draw conclusions about the role of family metaphors and the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family*, in particular, in business English communication. The results show that family metaphors do not employ the meanings of loyalty, common goal or team work. Instead, they serve as explanations of random business facts through something close and clear to all people – family relations. In other words, the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family* should be understood as family relations help us illustrate and thus better understand certain business realities. This means that, according to the English language, a company is not actually perceived as a family unit in any way. Thus,

the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family* has an artificial origin and family metaphors are used for better understanding business realities in terms of family relations.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Svobodová, L. Metaphors of War in Business English / L. Svobodová. Prague, 2012. – 38 p.
- 2. *Goatly, A.* The Language of Metaphors [Electronic resource] / A. Goatly. Mode of access : http://journals.cambridge.org. Date of access : 13.08.2015.
- 3. *Hawkes, T.* Metaphor [Electronic resource] / T. Hawkes. Mode of access : http://www.jstor.org. Date of access : 07.07.2015.
- 4. Lakoff, G. Metaphors We Live by / G. Lakoff, M. Johnson. London: The University of Chicago Press, 2003. 414 p.
- Mandell, N. The Corporation as Family: The Gendering of Corporate Welfare, 1890–1930 / N. Mandell. – Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2002. – 381 p.
- 6. *Hoffman*, *R*. [Electronic resource] / R. Hoffman. Mode of access : Date of access : 20.08.2015.
- 7. Combinatory Dictionary of the English Language. М. : «Иностранная литература», 1997. 278 с.
- 8. Oxford Dictionary of the English Language. London, 2012. 1214 p.
- 9. *Нешумаев, И. В.* Англо-русский словарь современной деловой разговорной лексики / И. В. Нешумаев. М. : «Русский язык», 2003. 680 с.
- 10. Вержбицка, А. Язык. Культура. Мышление / А. Вержбицка. М. : «Слово», 1997. 217 с.

The article deals with the conceptual metaphor *a company (firm, etc.) is a family* and language metaphors which form this conceptual metaphor. The article provides arguments that the conceptual metaphor *a company is a family* has an artificial origin and that *family* metaphors are used for better understanding business realities in terms of family relations.

# Е.С.Колб

# ФРЕЙМОВАЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ЮРИДИЧЕСКОЙ ТЕРМИНОЛОГИИ (на примере фрейма «судебный процесс/разбирательство»)

В статье рассматривается идея организации юридической терминологии с позиции фреймового подхода, и на примере фрейма «судебный процесс/разбирательство» описывается структура и лексическая наполняемость фрейма в юридической терминологии.